| 1 | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON | |
| 7 | AT SEATTLE | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | Case No. CR19-106-RSL |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | ORDER STRIKING |
| 11 | v. | MOTION FOR EMAIL |
| 12 | PRINCETON BROWN, et al., | CORRESPONDENCE |
| 13 | Defendants. | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | On March 19, 2020, defendant Princeton Brown filed a motion on his own behalf. See | |
| 16 | Dkt. #92. The Local Rules of this District provide, | |
| 17 | When a party is represented by an attorney of record in a case, the | |
| 18 | party cannot appear or act on his or her own behalf in that case, or | |
| 19 | proceed on his or her own behalf, certifies in the motion that he or she has provided copies of the motion to his or her current counsel and to the opposing party, and is granted an order of substitution by | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | the party in to proceed pro se[.] | |
| 23 | LCR 83.2(b)(5); see also LCrR 1(a). Mr. Brown is currently represented by counsel, and his | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | his motion for email correspondence (Dkt. #92) from the record. | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | // | |
| 28 | // | |
| | ORDER STRIKING MOTION FOR EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE - 1 | |
| | | |
| | I | |

DATED this 8th day of April, 2020.

MMS Casuik Robert S. Lasnik

United States District Judge

ORDER STRIKING MOTION FOR EMAIL CORRESPONDENCE - 2